#### **Quantum Defects from First Principles**

Mark E. Turiansky University of California, Santa Barbara

Advising Committee: Chris G. Van de Walle (chair) Cenke Xu (co-chair) Ania C. Bleszynski Jayich

#### Acknowledgements



Chris G. Van de Walle UCSB



Audrius Alkauskas Center for Phys. Sci. and Technol.



Lee C. Bassett UPenn



Raj N. Patel UPenn



Georg Kresse *U. Vienna* 



Darshana Wickramaratne US NRL



John L. Lyons US NRL



Jimmy-Xuan Shen LLNL



Cyrus E. Dreyer Stony Brook Univ.



Extreme Science and Engineering Discovery Environment





This work is supported by NSF.

# Harnessing Quantum Mechanics



# **Quantum Information Science**



M. Atatüre *et al*., Nat. Rev. Mater. **3**, 38 (2018)

#### Quantum Cryptography



S.-K. Liao *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 030501 (2018)

#### Quantum Metrology



Jenkins et al., Phys. Rev. Materials **3**, 083801 (2019)

- Potential platforms
  - Superconducting junctions
  - Trapped ions
  - Topologically protected states
- Quantum dots
  - ...
- Defects in semiconductors

# **Quantum Defects**

- Scope
  - Defects + impurities
  - Deep not shallow
  - Two types
    - Quantum emitters & spin centers
- Deep-level defects
  - Record coherence
    - T<sub>1</sub> ~ 8 h for NV<sup>-</sup> in diamond
       T. Astner *et al.*, Nat. Mater. **17**, 313 (2018).
    - T<sub>2</sub> ~ 1.8 ms for NV<sup>-</sup> in diamond *at room T* G. Balasubramanian *et al.*, Nat. Mater. 8, 383 (2009).
  - Many options for control
  - Scalability benefits from mature semiconductor industry



Dreyer et al., Annu. Rev. Mater. Res. 48, 1 (2018).

# **Outstanding Challenges**

Develop first-principles methodologies to describe quantum defects.
 Predict novel quantum defects with superior properties.



# **Density Functional Theory**

- Many-body wavefunction  $\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_{N_e}) \sim (N_G)^{3N_e}$
- P. Hohenberg and W. Kohn, Phys. Rev. **136**, B864 (1964).  $V_{\rm ext} \Leftrightarrow n_0$
- W. Kohn and L. J. Sham, Phys. Rev. 140, A1133 (1965).
  - Mean-field approx.

 $n_0^{\text{int}} \Leftrightarrow n_0^{\text{non-int}}$  $V_{\text{xc}}[n] = \langle \hat{T} \rangle - T_s[n] + \langle \hat{V}_{\text{int}} \rangle - E_{\text{Hartree}}[n]$ 



#### **Exchange-Correlation Functionals**



- HSE hybrid functional implemented in VASP
  - Heyd et al., J. Chem. Phys. **124**, 219906 (2006)
  - Kresse and Furthmüller, Phys. Rev. B 54, 11169 (1996)

### **Computational Details**

- Nudged elastic band method to investigate migration
  - G. Henkelman *et al.*, J. Chem. Phys. **113**, 9901 (2000)
- Constrained-occupation  $\Delta$ SCF to address excited states
  - R. O. Jones and O. Gunnarsson, Rev. Mod. Phys. **61**, 689 (1989)
- First-principles approach for defects
  - Freysoldt et al., Rev. Mod. Phys. 86, 253 (2014)



# **Configuration Coordinate Diagram**

- Electron-phonon coupling
  - Huang-Rhys factor

 $S = \frac{1}{2\hbar} \Omega(\Delta Q)^2$ 

- Semi-classical picture
  - Nonradiative
  - Radiative
- Used as the basis for a full quantum-mechanical treatment



# Nonradiative Capture

- First-principles formulation
  - A. Alkauskas *et al.*,
     Phys. Rev. B **90**, 075202 (2014).
  - Single, special mode approximation

$$C = f \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} g V W_{if}^2 \sum_m w_m \times \sum_n |\langle \chi_{im} | \hat{Q} - Q_0 | \chi_{fn} \rangle|^2 \delta(\Delta E + m\hbar\Omega_i - n\hbar\Omega_f)$$

- Localized transitions
  - f = 1, V = 1
- Open-source code
  - https://github.com/mturiansky/nonrad
- Improvements
  - PAW formalism
  - Broadening
  - Sommerfeld parameter





# Broadening

$$C = f \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} g V W_{if}^2 \sum_m w_m \times \sum_n |\langle \chi_{im} | \hat{Q} - Q_0 | \chi_{fn} \rangle|^2 \delta(\Delta E + m\hbar\Omega_i - n\hbar\Omega_f)$$

- Delta function too restrictive
  - Random internal fields
  - Finite lifetime of states
  - 1D approximation
- Delta  $\rightarrow$  Gaussian
  - Used in a diverse range of firstprinciples calculations
- Alternate scheme: interpolation
  - Cubic spline
  - Piecewise cubic hermite interpolating polynomial

 $G_0(\omega) = \sum_n \left| \langle \chi_{i0} | \hat{Q} - Q_0 | \chi_{fn} \rangle \right|^2 \delta(\Delta E - n\hbar\Omega)$ 0.20 0.15 - 1.5 - 0.10 - <sup>-</sup> 0.05 - <sup>-</sup> 0. 다. 네이지 - 1.0 년 -0.5 0.00 1.2 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 E [eV]

# Broadening

$$C = f \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} g V W_{if}^2 \sum_m w_m \times \sum_n |\langle \chi_{im} | \hat{Q} - Q_0 | \chi_{fn} \rangle|^2 \delta(\Delta E + m\hbar\Omega_i - n\hbar\Omega_f)$$

- Delta function too restrictive
  - Random internal fields
  - Finite lifetime of states
  - 1D approximation
- Delta  $\rightarrow$  Gaussian
  - Used in a diverse range of firstprinciples calculations
- Alternate scheme: interpolation
  - Cubic spline
  - Piecewise cubic hermite interpolating polynomial



### **Sommerfeld Parameter**

- Enhancement/suppression of carrier wavefunction near charge
- Analytic form  $\theta_b = m_b e^4/32k_B\epsilon_0^2\hbar^2$

$$s(T) = \begin{cases} \frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left[ \frac{Z^2 \theta_b}{T} \right]^{1/2} & Z < 0 \\ \frac{8}{\sqrt{3}} \left[ \frac{Z^2 \theta_b}{T} \right]^{2/3} \exp\left( -3 \left[ \frac{Z^2 \theta_b}{T} \right]^{1/3} \right) & Z > 0 \end{cases} \overset{\text{by err }}{\text{ remperature averaging}} \\ s(\mathbf{k}) = -\frac{2\pi Z}{a_b |\mathbf{k}|} \frac{1}{1 - e^{2\pi Z/a_b |\mathbf{k}|}} \\ s(T) = \frac{\int_0^\infty d|\mathbf{k}| 4\pi |\mathbf{k}|^2 s(\mathbf{k}) e^{-\hbar^2 |\mathbf{k}|^2/2m_b k_B T}}{\int_0^\infty d|\mathbf{k}| 4\pi |\mathbf{k}|^2 e^{-\hbar^2 |\mathbf{k}|^2/2m_b k_B T}} \end{cases}$$

 $|\mathbf{k}| \ll 2\pi |Z|/a_b \qquad a_b = 4\pi\epsilon_0 \hbar^2/m_b e^2$ 

## Outline



# **Motivation**

- Cubic boron nitride
  - Wide band gap (6.26 eV)
  - High breakdown field
  - Thermal + chemical stability
  - Claims of *n* and *p*-type dopability
- Promising applications
  - Power electronics
  - Deep-UV optoelectronics
  - Host for quantum defects
- Controllable dopability is essential for applications!
  - L. Weston *et al.*,
     Phys. Rev. B **96**, 100102 (2017)



## **Intentional Dopants**



- Considered Si, Ge, S, and Se
- $Ge_B$  and  $S_N$  high in formation energy
- Si<sub>B</sub> is promising
  - Free from self-compensation
  - DX center
  - $\epsilon(+/-) = 0.11 \text{ eV}$  below conduction band
  - K. Hirama *et al.*, Appl. Phys. Lett. 116, 162104 (2020)

# **Unintentional Impurities**



- C, O ubiquitous impurities
- C prone to self-compensation
- O<sub>N</sub> is promising
  - Free from self-compensation
  - DX center
  - $\epsilon(+/-) = 0.42 \text{ eV}$ below conduction band
  - At room temperature,  $10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ O} \rightarrow 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ carriers}$
  - T. Taniguchi *et al.*,
     Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. **41**, L109 (2002).

## **Compensation by Vacancies**

- V<sub>B</sub> deep acceptor
  - Compensation
- Complex formation makes things worse!
- $V_{\rm B}$  immobile below 1300 K
  - Non-equilibrium effects
  - High pressure, high temperature growth > 1300 K
  - Thin film growth < 1300 K
  - Band bending favors incorporation of dopants





# Summary

- Si<sub>B</sub> and  $O_N$  are the most promising *n*-type dopants.
- Compensation by V<sub>B</sub> poses a problem for doping efforts.
- Control of growth kinetics is essential to improve doping.

**M. E. Turiansky**, D. Wickramaratne, J. L. Lyons, and C. G. Van de Walle, Appl. Phys. Lett. **119**, 162105 (2021).



## Outline



# Hexagonal Boron Nitride (h-BN)

#### Two-dimensional devices



#### Single-photon emission



#### Ultra-wide-bandgap devices



T. Roy *et al.*, ACS Nano **8**, 6259 (2014).

G. Grosso *et al.*, Nat. Commun. **8**, 705 (2017).

Kowalski, "Mathematical Modeling of Ultraviolet Germicidal Irradiation for Air Disinfection" (2000).

- Two-dimensional, layered material
- Large, indirect band gap of 6.08 eV
- Promising for electronics + optoelectronics, and as a host for quantum defects

### Quantum Defects in hBN

Spin center 900 600 700 800 Wavelength (nm) -0.2 0.6 B = 10 mT10 04 -0.5 - 0.2 B = 0 mT-1.0 3.0 3.2 3.4 3.6 3.8 4.0 3.0 3.2 3.4 3.6 3.8 4.0 Microwave frequency (GHz) Microwave frequency (GHz)  $V_{\rm B}^{-}$ A. Gottscholl et al., Nat. Mater. 19, 540 (2020). M. E. Turiansky et al., Nat. Mater. 19, 487 (2020).

1.000





## 2 eV Single-Photon Emitters

- Rare  $\rightarrow$  low densities
- Present in as-grown samples
- Can be created/activated with irradiation, annealing, nanopillars, ...
- Generally near flake edges or extended defects



S. Choi *et al*., ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces **8**, 29642 (2016).

## 2 eV Single-Photon Emitters

- Zero-phonon line ~ 2 eV
- Linearly polarized emission
- Relatively weak coupling to phonons
  - Huang-Rhys factor S ~ 1-2
  - N. R. Jungwirth *et al.*,
     Nano Lett. **16**, 6052 (2016).
  - Acoustic phonons in 2D  $\rightarrow$  S ~ 2-3



### 2 eV Single-Photon Emitters





Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 057401 (2017).



# **Dangling Bonds?**







- Ubiquitous defect in Si
- Single broken bond
  - "partial" vacancy
- Found where bonding is disrupted
  - interfaces, grain boundaries, line defects, large voids, ...
- Sensitive to local environment
  - Heterogeneity
  - Could explain multicolor emission
- May be extremely stable

# Modeling a Dangling Bond



### **Transition Levels**

- Considered both B and N dangling bonds
- C<sub>2v</sub> symmetry
- Rule out N dangling bonds
- Expect transition level in upper half of band gap
  - Z.-Q. Xu *et al.*,
    2D Mater. 7, 031001 (2020).



M. E. Turiansky et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, 127401 (2019).

# **Single-Particle States**

- $a_1 \rightarrow$  dangling bond
- $b_1 \rightarrow \text{localized } p_z \text{ state}$
- Rule out +1 and 0 states
  - 3.27 eV transition in 0
- Focus on -1
  - Doubly occupied dangling bond state
  - Hypothesize that b<sub>1</sub> will become localized





(b)  $b_1$ 

(c) a<sub>1</sub>

# **Optical Transition**

- Zero-phonon line (ZPL) agrees well with experiment
- Huang-Rhys factor S = 2.3
- Linearly polarized
  - Expect lower symmetry



# **Dipole Misalignment**



- Photoionization may be important
  - P. Khatri *et al.*, Nano Lett. **20**, 4256 (2020).

#### **Magnetic-Field Dependence**

- High spin configuration
- Magnetic-field dependent optical response
- Consistent with experimental observations after considering C<sub>1h</sub> symmetry
- Necessary for spin-based sensing





### **Dangling Bonds in a Monolayer**



- Optical transition in monolayer is similar to transition in bulk
- Differences in triplet state may affect magnetic-field dependence

**M. E. Turiansky** and C. G. Van de Walle, J. Appl. Phys. **129**, 064301 (2021).

# **Out of Plane Distortions**

- 2D materials live in 3D
- Symmetry lowering is a consistent theme for dangling bonds
- Emitters found near extended defects
   and wrinkles
- Nanobubbles to activate emitters
  - W. Liu *et al.*, Physica E Low Dimens.
     Sys. Nanostruct. **124**, 114251 (2020).



M. E. Turiansky and C. G. Van de Walle, 2D Mater. 8, 024002 (2021).

## Zero-Phonon Line

- Distortion reduces zero-phonon line energy
  - Could explain range of energies observed
- Increases coupling to phonons (Huang-Rhys factor)
  - Excited state moves further out of plane
  - N. R. Jungwirth *et al.*,
     Nano Lett. **16**, 6052 (2016).



## **Radiative Lifetime**

Radiative lifetime

$$\Gamma_{\rm rad} = \tau_{\rm rad}^{-1} = \frac{n E_{\rm ZPL}^3 \mu^2}{3\pi\varepsilon_0 c^3 \hbar^4}$$

0

- Typical anti-bunching lifetime ~1-10 ns
- Quantum efficiency as low as 6%
  - X. Li *et al.*, ACS Nano **13**, 6992 (2019).
- Two important effects
  - Distortion
  - Misalignment
    - Is the light really orthogonal to the plane?



### **Photon Autocorrelation**

- Measurements performed by experimental collaborators at UPenn
- Hanbury Brown-Twiss experimental setup
- Demonstrates single-photon emission

$$g^{(2)}(\tau) = \frac{\langle I(t)I(t+\tau)\rangle}{\langle I(t)\rangle^2}$$



### Photon Emission Correlation Spectroscopy

- Long time scales provide insight into level structure
- Fitting function → quantitative insight into the timescales involved
- Compare with simulations

$$g^{(2)}(\tau) = 1 - C_1 e^{-\gamma_1 \tau} + \sum_{i=2}^N C_i e^{-\gamma_i \tau}$$



R. N. Patel, ..., **M. E. Turiansky** et al., arXiv:2201.08881 (2022).

### **Optical Dynamics**



- Construct level diagram that explains experiments
- Two relevant conclusions:
  - Anti-bunching shows evidence of indirect excitation
  - Different number of bunching levels in each emitter

## **Dangling Bond Level Structure**



- Dangling bond  $\rightarrow$  rich level structure
- Explains heterogeneity (e.g. multiple bunching times)
- Consider nonradiative capture of electron

# Comparison

- Capture into excited state favored by ~5 orders of magnitude
- C ~ 4 × 10<sup>-7</sup> cm<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>
- Density of electrons
  - Thermal velocity 10<sup>5</sup> m s<sup>-1</sup>
  - Relaxation time 1 ps
  - Distance 100 nm
  - Density  $2.4 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-3}$
- Capture rate
  - Calculated ~ 100 MHz
  - Experiment ~ 300-800 MHz
- Supports dangling bond as microscopic model



# Summary

- Nonrad code
  - **M. E. Turiansky** *et al.*, Comput. Phys. Commun. **267**, 108056 (2021).
  - https://github.com/mturiansky/nonrad
- O<sub>N</sub> and Si<sub>B</sub> are promising dopants in c-BN, but control of growth kinetic is necessary
  - M. E. Turiansky *et al.*,
     Appl. Phys. Lett. **119**, 162105 (2021).
- Boron dangling bonds are the likely origin of the 2 eV single-photon emission in h-BN
  - M. E. Turiansky *et al.*,
     Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**, 127401 (2019).
  - M. E. Turiansky and C. G. Van de Walle, J. Appl. Phys. 129, 064301 (2021).
  - **M. E. Turiansky** and C. G. Van de Walle, 2D Mater. **8**, 024002 (2021).
- Optical dynamics of emitters in h-BN support dangling bond as the microscopic origin
  - R. N. Patel, ..., M. E. Turiansky et al., arXiv:2201.08881 (2022).

#### Nonradiative Losses in Perovskite Solar Cells

- X. Zhang, **M. E. Turiansky**, J.-X. Shen, and C. G. Van de Walle, J. Appl. Phys. **131**, 090901 (2022).
- X. Zhang, **M. E. Turiansky**, and C. G. Van de Walle, Cell Rep. Phys. Sci. **2**, 100604 (2021).
- X. Zhang, J.-X. Shen, **M. E. Turiansky**, and C. G. Van de Walle, Nat. Mater. **20**, 971 (2021).
- X. Zhang, **M. E. Turiansky**, J.-X. Shen, and C. G. Van de Walle, Phys. Rev. B **101**, 140101 (2020).
- X. Zhang, **M. E. Turiansky**, and C. G. Van de Walle, J. Phys. Chem. C **124**, 6022 (2020).
- X. Zhang, J.-X. Shen, M. E. Turiansky, and C. G. Van de Walle, J. Mater. Chem. A 8, 12964 (2020).

#### Recombination

- F. Zhao, **M. E. Turiansky**, and C. G. Van de Walle, *in preparation*.
- **M. E. Turiansky**, A. Alkauskas, and C. G. Van de Walle, *in preparation*.
- **M. E. Turiansky**, X. Zhang, and C. G. Van de Walle, *in preparation*.

#### **Boron-Containing Alloys**

- J.-X. Shen, **M. E. Turiansky**, D. Wickramaratne, and C. G. Van de Walle, Phys. Rev. Mater. **5**, L030401 (2021).
- **M. E. Turiansky**, J.-X. Shen, D. Wickramaratne, and C. G. Van de Walle, J. Appl. Phys. **126**, 095706 (2019).

#### Polarons

• **M. E. Turiansky**, J. B. Varley, A. Alkauskas, and C. G. Van de Walle, *in preparation*.

#### Unique Defects in a Variety of Materials

- Y. Chen, **M. E. Turiansky**, and C. G. Van de Walle, *in preparation*.
- S. Mu, **M. E. Turiansky**, M. W. Swift, and C. G. Van de Walle, *in preparation*.
- **M. E. Turiansky** and C. G. Van de Walle, *in preparation*.

# Thank You!





- Advisor
  - Chris G. Van de Walle
- Advising Committee
  - Cenke Xu, Ania C. Bleszynski Jayich
- Collaborators
  - Audrius Alkauskas, Xie Zhang, Raj N. Patel, Lee C. Bassett, Manuel Engel, Georg Kresse

#### Van de Walle group members

- Darshana Wickramaratne, Jimmy-Xuan Shen, Cyrus E.
   Dreyer, John L. Lyons, Fangzhou Zhao, Sai Mu, Mengen Wang, Yubi Chen, Joel Varley, Emmanouil Kioupakis, Hartwin Peelaers, Andrew J. E. Rowberg, Nicholas Adamski, Michael W. Swift, Wennie Wang, Yongjin Shin, Siavash Karbasizadeh, Baiyu Zhang, Stephanie Mack, Leigh Weston, Zhen Zhu, Youngho Kang, Santosh KC, Azzedin Jackson, and Haochen Wang
- Ymir Kalmann Frodason, Kamil Czelej, Christopher Broderick

#### Parents, family, and friends